

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/759,891	01/16/2004	J. David Prest	1756-A-22	8475		
7590 04/18/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
C. Robert von Hellens			WILLIAMS	WILLIAMS, MARK A		
Suite 155	N HELLENS & GLAZI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2141 E. Highland Avenue			3676			
Phoenix, AZ 85016			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/759,891	PREST, J. DAVID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark A. Williams	3676		

	Mark A. Williams	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 3 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	200100
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below. 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ———		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·		-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord ad sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.	M:	/H	
	Juli		
		E. GLESSNER Y PATENT EXAMINI	ER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Reference to "an undersdie surface", " in direct contact with", and "direct attachment" raises new issues and requires further consideration and search.